

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

PLANNING DIVISION

PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS

KEVIN PRIOR, CHAIRMAN
ELIZABETH MORONEY, CLERK
JOSEPH FAVALORO
JAMES KIRYLO
MICHAEL A. CAPUANO, ESQ.
DANA LEWINTER (ALT.)

Case #: PB # 2009-14

Site: 844 McGrath Highway/23 Cummings Street

Date of Decision: January 7, 2010

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u> **Date Filed with City Clerk: January 13, 2010**

PLANNING BOARD DECISION

Applicant Name: Clearwire

Applicant Address: 5808 Lake Washington Blvd, Kirkland, WA 98033

Property Owner Name: 3RE/LQ Properties, LLC

Property Owner Address: 750 Park of Commercial Blvd, Suite 300, Boco Raton, FL 33481

Agent Name: Lisa A. Bera

Agent Address: 960 Turnpike Street, #28, Canton, MA 02021

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant, Clearwire, and Owner, 3 RE/LQ Properties LLC, seeks a

Special Permit with Site Plan Review – A under SZO §7.11.15.3 in order to install and relocate wireless communications equipment.

ASMD zone. Ward 1.

Zoning District/Ward: ASMD zone/Ward 1

Zoning Approval Sought: §7.11.15.3

Date of Application:November 12, 2010Date(s) of Public Hearing:January 7, 2010Date of Decision:January 7, 2010

Vote: 5-0

Appeal #PB 2009-14 was opened before the Planning Board at Somerville City Hall on January 7, 2010. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one hearing of deliberation, the Planning Board took a vote.





Site: 844 McGrath Hwy/23 Cummings St

SOMERVILLE

DESCRIPTION:

Clearwire is proposing to install wireless communications equipment, consisting of seven (7) antennas, an equipment cabinet and a new coax cable run. Also, Sprint would be relocating antennas. Below is a list of the proposed changes:

- 1 Wimax GPS antenna
- 3 Wimax antennas
- 3 Backhaul antennas

New coax cable run on rooftop

Equipment cabinet would be inside an existing penthouse with Sprint equipment

- 3 Relocated Sprint antennas
- 1 Relocated Sprint GPS antenna

The antennas would be façade-mounted on existing penthouses approximately 10 feet above the rooftop and approximately 10 feet from the building edge. One of the backhaul dishes would be on an angle bracket and project from the side of the penthouse.

The reason for the location of new Clearwire antennas is to service their customer base. Clearwire is a FCC licensed company that is required to comply with all state and federal regulations.

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT WITH SITE PLAN REVIEW-A (SZO §7.11.15.3\$):

In order to grant a special permit with site plan review, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.2.5 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.2.5 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.2.3 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permit with Site Plan Review.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

The Applicant seeks a special permit under §7.11.15.3 of the SZO which requires the applicant to follow guidelines and procedures set forth in Article 14 for the, "regulation of wireless telecommunications facilities so as to allow and encourage such uses in the City with minimal harm to the public health, safety, and general welfare."

The Board finds that minimal harm would be imposed upon the health, safety and welfare of the surrounding neighborhood.

Guidelines in Article 14 of the SZO state that antennas should not be located more than 10ft above the roofline, should be located at a minimum of 10ft from the roof edge and below a forty-five degree plane beginning at the cornice of the building. While these guidelines have not been strictly adhered to for three of the proposed antennas, Board finds that the unusual height of the penthouses, the existence of other antennas in the areas where these new antennas are proposed, the placement of the antennas on existing penthouse structures, and conditions placed on the proposal in this report would limit the visual impact of the proposal that the guidelines are meant to preserve. Conditions imposed by this report include painting of the antennas to match the building.

The guidelines in Article 14 also state service providers should co-locate, which Clearwire would be following with Sprint. The use of the site is commercial and the antennas are side-mounted onto existing structures and painted to match, the surrounding materials and colors, which are other recommendations that would be followed. ONE CALL D CITY HALL

CITY HALL • 93 HIGHLAND AVENUE • SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS 02143 (617) 625-6600 Ext. 2500 • TTY: (617) 666-0001 • Fax: (617) 625-0722

Site: 844 McGrath Hwy/23 Cummings St

SOMERVILLE

Review Criteria for Telecommunications Facilities:

- a) Height of proposed facility: The existing building has an approximately 70ft high roof top. Proposed antennas would not extend above existing penthouses on the roof top except for a single GPS antenna that would extend a few inches from the 80ft high penthouse.
- b) Proximity of facility to residential structures and residential zoning districts: 844 McGrath Highway is in the Assembly Square Mixed Use District. A Residence A district abuts the property; however, Rt 28 Fellsway West separates the subject property from residential properties. As a result, there would be approximately 120 yards between the antennas and the residential properties. From the residential district the change from the existing conditions would be minimal.
- c) Nature of uses on adjacent and nearby properties: Properties to the northwest are residential and properties in all other directions are commercial in nature. Existing antennas on the rooftop of this structure do not have an adverse affect on nearby properties.
- d) Surrounding topography and prominence of proposed facility: The surrounding area is relatively flat and there are no other buildings of comparable height or prominence in the surrounding area. Though the proposed rooftop antennas are visible from several vantage points, the proposal to match them to the color of the building would reduce their prominence from any public way.
- *Surrounding tree cover and foliage:* There are trees along the edge of the property that are significantly lower in height than the proposed antennas and will be unaffected by this proposal.
- f) Design of tower, with particular reference to design characteristics that have the effect of reducing or eliminating visual obtrusiveness, as specified in Section 14.3: Not applicable.
- g) Location of tower, with particular reference to the existence of more suitable locations, as specified in Section 14.3: Not applicable.
- *Proposed ingress and egress:* Ingress and egress to the site will be at the existing curb cut for the property and use existing parking and circulation patterns.
- *Distance from existing facilities:* Clearwire is currently establishing several sites in Somerville. The accompanying map shows the proposed future locations of Clearwire antennas.
- Availability of suitable existing towers, poles, other structures, or alternative technologies, as discussed in Section 14.5.2: The site is an existing wireless site for Sprint. Clearwire and Sprint have a relationship where Clearwire is able to use Sprint's existing arrays and penthouses. This relationship minimizes the impact that this new company's equipment has on the appearance of the building.
- 3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The Board finds that the proposal, as conditioned, **is consistent** with the purposes of the SZO, specifically "to facilitate the adequate provision of …other public requirements; to …increase the amenities of the municipality" (SZO §1.2), and with the specific purposes of Article 14 as noted below:

CITY HALL • 93 HIGHLAND AVENUE • SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS 02143 (617) 625-6600 EXT. 2500 • TTY: (617) 666-0001 • FAX: (617) 625-0722

Site: 844 McGrath Hwy/23 Cummings St

- *a) Protect residential areas and land uses from potential adverse impacts of towers and antennas;*
 - b) Encourage the location of telecommunications facilities in non-residential areas;
 - c) Minimize the total number of towers and antennas throughout the community;
 - d) Strongly encourage the joint use of new and existing tower sites as a primary option rather than construction of additional single-use towers;
 - e) Encourage users of towers and antennas to locate them in areas where the adverse impact on the community is minimal;
 - f) Encourage users of towers and antennas to configure them in ways that minimize the adverse visual impact of the towers and antennas through careful design, siting, landscape screening, and innovative camouflaging techniques;
 - g) Enhance the ability of the providers of telecommunications services to provide such services to the community quickly, effectively, and efficiently;
 - *h)* Consider the public health and safety of communications facilities; and
 - *i)* Avoid potential damage to adjacent properties from tower and antenna failure through sound engineering and careful siting of structures.
- 4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The Board finds the project to be compatible with the surrounding area and land uses. The antennas proposed are 2ft in diameter to 4ft in length and would be placed on penthouses that are approximately 82ft above street level. A condition that the antennas be camouflaged will reduce any impacts on the site and surrounding area.





Site: 844 McGrath Hwy/23 Cummings St

DECISION:

Present and sitting were members Elizabeth Moroney, Joseph Favaloro, James Kirylo, Michael Capuano and Dana LeWinter. Upon making the above findings, Joseph Favaloro made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. James Kirylo seconded the motion. Wherefore the Planning Board voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the installation of 1 Wimax GPS antenna, 3 Wimax antennas, 3 Backhaul antennas, a coax cable run on rooftop, equipment cabinet inside an existing penthouse, 3 relocated Sprint antennas, and 1 relocated Sprint GPS antenna. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP	Plng.	
	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	(Nov 12, 2009)	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
1	Jun 29, 2009	Plans submitted to OSPCD (A-1 roof plan, A-2 elevation, A-3 antenna orientation, A-4 cabinet details)			
	Oct 2009	Plans submitted to OSPCD (photo simulations)			
	Nov 2009	Modified plans submitted to OSPCD (photo simulations)			
	Any changes to the approved roof plans, elevations or photo simulations that are not <i>de minimis</i> must receive ZBA approval.				
2	The antenna shall be painted to match the color of the penthouse to which it is attached.		Final Inspection	Plng.	
3	The Applicant or Owner shall meet the Fire Prevention Bureau's requirements.		BP	FP	
4	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.		During Construction	Т&Р	ONE CA





Date: January 8, 2010

Case #: PB 2009-14 Site: 844 McGrath Hwy/23 Cummings St

	The Applicant shall at his expense replace any existing	Final	DPW
	equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles,	inspection	
	signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel	mspection	
5	chair ramps, granite curbing, etc) and the entire sidewalk		
'			
	immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a		
	result of installation activity. All new sidewalks and		
	driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.	CO 1	
	Compliance with Noise Control Ordinance. Prior to the	CO and	
	issuance of a Certificate of Use and Occupancy Permit for	annually	
	the installation of the wireless telecommunications facility,		
	the Applicant shall submit to the Inspectional Services		
	Division, with a copy to the Zoning Board of Appeals, a		
	sound level measurement certified as accurate by a		
	professional acoustician and shall perform such sound level		
	measurements six months after issuance of the certificate of		
6	occupancy, with subsequent sound level measurements		
0	annually on or before the anniversary date of the original six		
	month measurement to document that all of the Applicant's		
	installed equipment complies and continues to comply with		
	the decibel level standards established by the City of		
	Somerville, Noise Control Ordinance. The Applicant shall		
	provide the results of such measurements and certify that		
	the facility complies with the decibel level standards		
	established by the City of Somerville, Noise Control		
	Ordinance, with a copy to the Zoning Board of Appeals.		
	Compliance with Federal Communications Commission	Annually	ВОН
	Guidelines for Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields.		
	To ensure compliance with the standards established by the		
	Federal Communications Commission Office of		
	Engineering and Technology ("FCC") in OET Bulletin 65		
	as adopted by Massachusetts Department of Public Health		
	under 105 CMR 122.021, the Applicant shall perform		
	measurements, within two (2) months of the date that the		
	Applicant's wireless telecommunications facility		
7	commences operation and at intervals of twelve (12) months		
'	thereafter, to establish that the Applicant's wireless		
	telecommunications facility complies and continues to		
	comply with the FCC guidelines and applicable state		
	regulations for human exposure to radio frequency		
	electromagnetic fields for human exposure to radio		
	frequency electromagnetic fields. The Applicant shall		
	provide the results of such measurements with certification		
	of compliance to the City of Somerville Health Department,		
	with a copy to the Zoning Board of Appeals.	D 1	ICD
	Any antenna that is not operated continuously for a period	Perpetual	ISD
8	of twelve (12) months shall be considered abandoned, and		
	the owner of such antenna shall remove the same. Failure		
	to remove may result in a fine or penalty.		






Site: 844 McGrath Hwy/23 Cummings St

		The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five	Final	Plng.	
		working days in advance of a request for a final inspection	inspection		
	9	by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was			
		constructed in accordance with the plans and information			
		submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.			



Site: 844 McGrath Hwy/23 Cummings St

Attest, by the Planning Board:



Joseph Favaloro

Dana LeWinter

James Kirlyo

Michael Capuano

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 3.2.10.





Site: 844 McGrath Hwy/23 Cummings St

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on	in the Office of the City Clerk,
and twenty days have elapsed, and	
FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Cl	'lerk, or
any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or d	denied.
FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN	
there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Cl	Clerk, or
there has been an appeal filed.	
Signed	City Clerk Date



